



APPELLATE ADVOCACY

CRAFTING A COMPELLING NARRATIVE

ELEMENTS, TIPS, ANALYSIS, AND COMMON MISTAKES

By Meeta A. Bass
RAILROAD REFEREE

THE CLAIMS PROCESS



INTRODUCTION TO APPELLATE BRIEFS



Communication with the Board

Appellate briefs serve as the primary means of communication with the Board, allowing the parties to present their arguments and analysis.



Persuasive Advocacy

The appellate brief is a powerful tool for persuasive advocacy. Advocates can make a compelling case for their party's position using it.



Analysis and Arguments

Appellate briefs contain detailed analysis, supported by citations to relevant awards and other authorities, to advance the party's arguments.



Opportunity to Influence Outcome

The appellate brief gives parties the opportunity to influence the outcome of the case as the Board relies heavily on the information and arguments presented in the briefs.

Appellate briefs are the backbone of the appeals process, serving as the primary means of communication with the Board, facilitating persuasive advocacy, providing in-depth legal analysis, and shaping the ultimate outcome of the appeal.

STRUCTURE OF AN APPELLATE BRIEF

- **Statement of the Case**

A concise summary of the relevant facts and procedural history of the case, providing the necessary context for the Board to understand the issues on appeal.

- **Standard of Review**

A clear statement of the appropriate standard of review for each issue on appeal, which influences the level of deference the Board will give.

- **Argument**

The persuasive presentation of the arbitral and factual reasons why the Board should rule in the party's favor, supported by relevant authorities and evidence.

- **Conclusion**

A clear and concise statement of the specific relief the party is requesting from the Board, such as a denial, reversal, remand, or modification of the managerial decision.

THE LOGIC BEHIND CRAFTING AN ARGUMENT

**“A WHOLE IS THAT WHICH HAS A
BEGINNING, A MIDDLE, AND AN END.”**

ARISTOTLE

THE IMPORTANCE OF LOGICAL PROGRESSION

1. Establish the premise

Clearly define the central idea or problem that the claim aims to address.

2. Introduce the persons involved

Develop the parties involved and their motivations, setting the stage for your argument, i.e., the introduction of mitigation.

3. Create a logical sequence of events

Ensure that each event or argument follows naturally from the previous one, building persuasiveness.

4. Incorporate realistic obstacles

Present challenges and parties, creating a sense of conflict and drama.

5. Maintain a consistent tone

Ensure that the overall tone and style of your argument remains consistent, creating a cohesive and immersive experience.

6. Provide a satisfying resolution

Conclude the argument in a way that resolves the claim and provides a sense of fairness for the Board.

LOGICAL

FACTS: DETAILS OF INCIDENT(S)

CASE PROGRESSION: CHRONOLOGICAL CASE DEVELOPMENT

CBA APPLICATION: RELEVANT PROVISIONS AND ARBITRAL CITATIONS

COUNTERARGUMENT: ADDRESSING THE OTHER PARTY'S
POSITION

CRAFTING YOUR APPELLATE STRATEGY



RESEARCHING YOUR AWARDS

- **Understand the legal standard of review**
Identify the appropriate standard of review for the issues in your claim, as this will guide your precedents and authorities research and arguments.
- **Identify key precedents**
Thoroughly research the favorable and unfavorable relevant awards to understand the controlling principles and how they have been applied in similar situations.
- **Analyze the reasoning of prior Awards**
Carefully examine the Board's rationale in the key cases, as this will help you anticipate and address potential counterarguments and strengthen your own arguments.
- **Consider the factual similarities and distinctions**
Evaluate how the facts in the precedent cases compare to the facts in your case, as this can impact the applicability and persuasiveness of the award.
- **Stay up-to-date on recent developments**
Continuously monitor for any new or relevant awards that may emerge during the course of your claim as this can affect your strategy and arguments.

ANTICIPATING COUNTERARGUMENTS

Identify Potential Counterarguments

Thoroughly research the opposing party's position and identify their likely counterarguments to your appellate argument.

Acknowledge and Address Counterarguments

Proactively address potential counterarguments in your presentation, demonstrating that you have considered them and have a strong response.

Anticipate Rebuttals

Anticipate how the opposing party might try to rebut your responses to their counterarguments, and be prepared to address those rebuttals as well.

Demonstrate Understanding

Showing that you understand the opposing party's perspective can make your argument more credible and persuasive.

Strengthen Your Position

Addressing counterarguments head-on can actually strengthen your own position by highlighting the weaknesses in the opposing arguments.

INTRODUCTION TO PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS



Defining Procedural Due Process

Procedural due process refers to the requirements that must be followed in arbitrations to ensure fairness and protect individual rights.



Importance in Arbitration

Procedural due process is crucial in arbitration, as it ensures that parties are treated fairly, have the opportunity to present their case, and that decisions are made in a just and impartial manner.



Right to a Hearing

Procedural due process guarantees the right to a hearing, where parties can present evidence, cross-examine witnesses, and make arguments in support of their position.



Impartial Trier of Fact

Procedural due process requires that the hearing officer be impartial and free from bias, ensuring the decision-making process is fair and unbiased.

Understanding and upholding procedural due process is essential in the context of arbitration, as it protects the rights of all parties involved and ensures a fair and just resolution to the dispute.

KEY ELEMENTS OF PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS

- **Notice**

Parties must be provided with adequate and timely notice of the proceedings, including the time, date, and location of any hearings or meetings.

- **Opportunity to be Heard**

Parties must be given a meaningful opportunity to present their case, including the ability to submit evidence, call witnesses, and make arguments.

- **Impartial Decision-making**

The decision-maker must be neutral and unbiased, and the decision must be based on the evidence and arguments presented during the proceedings.

ROLE OF ARBITRATORS IN UPHOLDING PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS

Unbiased and Impartial Proceedings

Arbitrators must ensure that the arbitration process is free from bias and that all parties are treated impartially throughout the proceedings.

Providing Adequate Notice

Arbitrators must ensure that all parties receive adequate notice of the arbitration proceedings.

Allowing Sufficient Opportunity to be Heard

Arbitrators must provide all parties with a full and fair opportunity to present their case, including the ability to submit evidence, call witnesses, and make arguments.

Rendering Reasoned Decisions

Arbitrators must provide a reasoned decision that explains the basis for their findings and conclusions, ensuring transparency and accountability in the process.

**DISTINGUISHING
FROM PRECEDENT
CASE IN
ARBITRATION**



INTRODUCTION



Identifying Relevant Awards

Understand the process of thoroughly researching and selecting the most pertinent awards to support your arguments.



Analyzing Award Precedents

Carefully examine the facts, reasoning, and holdings of each award to determine their relevance and applicability to the current matter.



Distinguishing Key Differences

Highlight the unique aspects of your case that set it apart from the precedents, emphasizing why the Board should rule in your favor.



Effective Award Comparison

Craft a clear and persuasive comparison between your case and the relevant precedents to guide the Board's decision-making process.

By mastering these strategies, you can effectively distinguish your case in appellate writing and strengthen your chances of a favorable outcome.

WHAT ARE PRECEDENTS?



Past Decisions

Precedents are based on previous rulings, awards, or actions in similar situations.



Guiding Future Actions

Precedents can serve as a guide for making decisions and taking actions in the future, ensuring consistency and predictability.



Establishing Patterns

Precedents help establish patterns and trends, which can be used to anticipate and respond to future events more effectively.



Promoting Fairness

Precedents promote fairness and consistency in decision-making, ensuring that similar cases are treated in a similar manner.

Precedents are an important tool in decision-making, as they provide a foundation for making informed choices and promoting consistency, fairness, and predictability in the future.

DISTINGUISHING FROM PRECEDENT CASE IN ARBITRATION

Understanding the Precedent Case

Case Background: The precedent case involved an employee who was terminated for repeated violations of company policies related to workplace behavior, including insubordination and harassment.

Arbitration Decision: The arbitrator upheld the termination, finding the employee's action constituted serious breaches of company policy warranting termination.

Comparing Facts

Differences in Conduct: Highlight differences in the specific behaviors and actions leading to the termination in your case compared to the precedent case. Emphasize any mitigating factors or differences in severity.

Work Environment: Argue that the workplace dynamics, culture, or specific circumstances leading to the disciplinary action differ between your case and the precedent case.

Issues and Arguments

Policy Interpretation: Discuss differences in the interpretation and application of company policies or disciplinary procedures between your case and the precedent case.

Due Process: Assert that the disciplinary process followed in your case differed in significant ways, potentially depriving you of fair treatment or procedural rights.

Jurisdictional Variations

Different Employment Contracts: If the precedent case involved a different collective bargaining agreement, argue the contractual provisions or grievance procedure applicable to your case are distinct.

DISTINGUISHING FROM PRECEDENT CASE IN ARBITRATION

Understanding the Precedent Case

Case Background: The precedent case involved an employee who was terminated for repeated violations of company policies related to workplace behavior, including insubordination and harassment.

Arbitration Decision: The arbitrator upheld the termination, finding the employee's action constituted serious breaches of company policy warranting termination.

Comparing Facts

Differences in Conduct: Highlight differences in the specific behaviors and actions leading to the termination in your case compared to the precedent case. Emphasize any mitigating factors or differences in severity.

Work Environment: Argue that the workplace dynamics, culture, or specific circumstances leading to the disciplinary action differ between your case and the precedent case.

Issues and Arguments

Policy Interpretation: Discuss differences in the interpretation and application of company policies or disciplinary procedures between your case and the precedent case.

Due Process: Assert that the disciplinary process followed in your case differed in significant ways, potentially depriving you of fair treatment or procedural rights.

Jurisdictional Variations

Different Employment Contracts: If the precedent case involved a different collective bargaining agreement, argue the contractual provisions or grievance procedure applicable to your case are distinct.

DISTINGUISHING FROM PRECEDENT CASE IN ARBITRATION, CONT.,

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

Consistency vs. Fairness:
Discuss the balance between maintaining consistency in disciplinary decisions and ensuring fairness and proportionality in individual cases. Argue that the unique circumstances of your case warrant a different outcome.

PROCEDURAL DIFFERENCES

Investigation Process:
Highlight any differences in the investigation process, including gathering of evidence, witness testimonies, or the opportunity for the party to present their side of the story.

Mitigating Evidence: Present any mitigating evidence or circumstances in your case that were not considered or emphasized in the precedent case.


AWARDS EVOLUTION

Recent Awards: Identify any recent arbitration awards or decisions in similar disciplinary cases that have deviated from the precedent, signaling a shift in arbitral practice or interpretation of company policies.

Legal Interpretations: Discuss any recent developments or changes in policy that might impact the arbitration decision in your case differently from the precedent.

ARBITRAL STATEMENTS

Arbitrator's Discretion:
Acknowledge the Arbitrator's discretion in weighing the facts and circumstances of each case. Emphasize the Arbitrator should consider the unique aspects of your case independently of the precedent.

A white ceramic mug is the central focus, featuring two lines of text in a bold, black, typewriter-style font. The first line reads "WRITE WITHOUT FEAR." and the second line reads "EDIT WITHOUT MERCY." To the left of the mug, a small green succulent with pointed leaves is visible, partially obscured by the mug's edge. The succulent is in a white pot with a colorful floral pattern. The background is a soft, out-of-focus green, suggesting a plant or foliage.

WRITE
WITHOUT
FEAR.

EDIT
WITHOUT
MERCY.

COMMON MISTAKES TO AVOID IN APPELLATE WRITING

LACK OF ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE

Unclear Roadmap

Failing to provide a clear and logical structure for the Board can result in a confusing presentation that lacks a defined roadmap.

Disjointed Arguments

Unorganized writing can lead to a disjointed presentation where the arguments do not flow cohesively, making it difficult for the Board to follow the logic.

Lack of Signposting

Neglecting to use transitional phrases can leave the Board disoriented, unable to easily navigate the key points of the argument.

Unclear Hierarchy of Issues

Failing to establish a clear hierarchy of issues and properly prioritize the arguments can make it challenging for the Board to understand the relative importance of each point.

Inconsistent Organization

Inconsistent organization across different sections of the brief can create confusion and make it difficult for the Board to follow the overall structure of the presentation.

COMMON MISTAKES

Overestimating the Arbitrator's Knowledge

Using Undefined Railroad Jargon

Lack of Logical Flow

Insufficient Detail

Ignoring the Opponent's Argument

IGNORING ADVERSE AUTHORITY

Ignoring adverse authority can be a significant weakness in appellate writing. Appellate writers must acknowledge and address any authority that may undermine their position, as failing to do so can be perceived as an attempt to avoid confronting counterarguments. This can ultimately weaken the overall persuasiveness of the argument.



MASTERING PERSUASIVE TECHNIQUES IN APPELLATE WRITING

ESTABLISHING CREDIBILITY

BUILDING CREDIBILITY THROUGH APPELLATE WRITING IS CRUCIAL FOR PERSUADING THE BOARD AND SECURING A FAVORABLE OUTCOME.

EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES INCLUDE THOROUGHLY RESEARCHING ARBITRAL PRINCIPLES AND PRECEDENT, CITING AUTHORITATIVE SOURCES, AND PRESENTING A WELL-ORGANIZED AND LOGICAL ARGUMENT.

BY ESTABLISHING YOUR EXPERTISE AND TRUSTWORTHINESS, YOU CAN ENHANCE THE BOARD'S CONFIDENCE IN YOUR POSITION AND INCREASE THE LIKELIHOOD OF A SUCCESSFUL APPEAL.

ANTICIPATION AND REFUTATION OF COUNTERARGUMENTS



EXPLANATION

Anticipating and addressing potential counterarguments showcases a comprehensive understanding of the topic and instills confidence in your position. By thoroughly analyzing the potential objections, you can effectively present a robust and convincing argument.



USAGE

While some may argue that the opposing viewpoints have merit, a closer examination reveals significant flaws in their reasoning. Compelling counterarguments can effectively challenge and invalidate the claims made by these contrasting perspectives.



IMPACT

Acknowledging counterarguments and substantiating your stance's soundness can bolster your arguments' persuasiveness. By addressing alternative viewpoints and firmly establishing the merits of your position, you can craft a more compelling and impactful discourse.

In appellate proceedings, persuasive techniques enable advocates to build a compelling case, bolster their credibility, and sway the decision-makers, thereby securing the optimal outcome for their party.

REPETITION AND PARALLELISM



EXPLANATION

Repeat key phrases or use parallel sentence structures to emphasize important points and enhance memorability.



EXAMPLE

"The Claimant acted in good faith and in reliance on directives of his manager. The Claimant complied with all regulations. The Claimant deserves a fair hearing."



IMPACT

Your argument gains strength when it is reinforced, as it enhances the Board's ability to remember and internalize your position.

Persuasive techniques are essential in appellate legal writing, as they empower advocates to present a compelling case, establish credibility, and influence the Board's decision-making process, ultimately securing the best possible outcome for the Party.

ANALOGIES AND METAPHORS



EXPLANATION

Comparisons are the pathways that link the known to the unknown, simplifying intricate notions. They function as visual references, navigating the mind through complex ideas and transforming the abstract into something tangible. Similes, conversely, are linguistic tools that breathe vitality into your arguments, rendering them more relatable and compelling.



EXAMPLE

Much like a vessel relying on a reliable captain to steer it through tumultuous waters, our assertion necessitates unambiguous arbitral direction to ensure a successful course of action.



IMPACT

Effective writing engages the reader's understanding by elucidating abstract notions and bolstering the persuasive power of the presented viewpoint. The strategic use of examples and explanations empowers the author's rhetoric, captivating the audience and reinforcing the central argument.

Crafting compelling arguments is crucial in appellate proceedings, as they empower advocates to construct a persuasive narrative, establish credibility, and sway decision-makers, securing the most favorable outcome for the Party.

ACTIVE VERB USE



EXPLANATION

Active verbs energize your writing, captivating readers and conveying your message with precision and impact. Harnessing the power of active language elevates your text, transforming it into a dynamic and engaging experience for the audience.



EXAMPLE

Instead of saying, "The decision was made by the Arbitrator," use "The Arbitrator decided."



IMPACT

Establishing a distinct sense of agency and clarity within your argument can significantly enhance its persuasiveness, compelling the audience to thoughtfully consider your perspective.

Effective appellate advocacy relies on persuasive techniques to sway the Board and secure the best outcome for the Party.

OVERLOADING WITH INFORMATION

RELEVANCE

Every piece of information included in your brief should serve a clear purpose and directly support your argument.

Criteria: Evaluate each detail based on its relevance to the central issues of the case and its potential to strengthen your position.

Impact: Maintains the reader's attention and reinforces the credibility and persuasiveness of your argument.

STRATEGIC SELECTION OF EVIDENCE

Approach: Select relevant, impactful, and persuasive evidence and examples.

Example: Choose specific testimony or exhibits that vividly illustrate key points or directly contradict the opposing party's claims.

Impact: Maximizes the persuasive power of your brief while minimizing unnecessary clutter and distractions.

INCLUDING IRRELEVANT DETAIL

Mistake: Providing excessive background information or tangential details that do not directly contribute to your argument.

Example: In a railroad dispute case, including extensive descriptions of unrelated incidents or historical context that do not impact the current arbitration.

Impact: Overwhelms the reader with unnecessary information, diluting the strength of your argument and making it harder to discern key points.

APPEALING TO EMOTIONS

- **Use Vivid Language**

Employ descriptive and emotive words to paint a vivid picture in the reader's mind, evoking a strong emotional response.

- **Invoke Relatable Experiences**

Draw upon common human experiences and emotions to connect with the reader on a personal level, fostering empathy and understanding.

- **Incorporate Narrative Storytelling**

Present your arguments within the framework of a compelling narrative, allowing the reader to become invested in the outcome.

- **Highlight Moral Principles**

Appeal to the reader's sense of justice, fairness, and ethical principles to elicit an emotional response in support of your position.

- **Emphasize Human Impact**

Emphasize the real-world consequences and impact of the legal issue on individuals, families, or the broader community to evoke an emotional reaction.

MASTERING APPELLATE ORAL ARGUMENTS

WHAT IS APPELLATE ORAL ARGUMENT?



Presenting a Claim

The process of orally arguing a case before the Board, advocating for the party's position and addressing the Board's questions and concerns.



Responding to the Board

During oral argument, the advocate must be prepared to respond to probing questions and challenges from the Board, demonstrating their understanding of the issues and ability to think on their feet.



Highlighting Key Points

The Advocate must use the limited time allotted to highlight the most important facts, arguments, and precedents that support their party's position, while anticipating and addressing potential counterarguments.

Appellate oral argument is a crucial stage in the appellate process, where advocates have the opportunity to directly engage with the Board and advocate for their party's position through skilled oral presentation and responsive engagement with the Board.

MASTERING ORAL ADVOCACY

- **Structure Your Argument**
Organize your presentation in a clear and logical manner, with a strong introduction, well-developed body, and compelling conclusion.
- **Anticipate and Address Counterarguments**
Identify potential counterarguments and address them proactively to demonstrate your understanding of the case and strengthen your position.
- **Use Persuasive Language**
Employ powerful, confident language to convey your message effectively and leave a lasting impression on the court.
- **Engage with the Board**
Actively listen and respond to the Arbitrator's questions, demonstrating your ability to think on your feet and engage in constructive dialogue.
- **Maintain Poise and Professionalism**
Exhibit a calm, confident demeanor throughout your presentation, even when faced with challenging questions or interruptions.

MASTERING THE ART OF ANSWERING QUESTIONS

Understand the Purpose

Arbitrators use questions to assess the strength of your arguments and identify potential weaknesses. Respond thoughtfully to demonstrate your mastery of the issues.

Listen Carefully

Pay close attention to the specific question being asked, and do not assume or speculate. Seek clarification if needed to ensure you understand the inquiry.

Answer Concisely

Provide a clear, concise response that directly addresses the question. Avoid rambling or providing unnecessary information.

Acknowledge Weaknesses

If a question highlights a weakness in your position, do not shy away from it. Acknowledge the issue and explain how you would address it.

Maintain Composure

Remain calm and poised, even when faced with challenging questions. Your demeanor can demonstrate your confidence and command of the subject matter.

RESPONDING TO OPPOSING ARGUMENTS

Acknowledge Opposing Arguments

Start by clearly articulating the opposing party's key points and arguments. This shows you have a thorough understanding of their perspective and are not ignoring or dismissing it.

Address Counterarguments

Directly respond to the opposing arguments, providing a well-reasoned and evidence-based rebuttal. Explain why your position is stronger and more persuasive.

Anticipate Objections

Anticipate potential objections or counterarguments the opposing party may raise, and proactively address them. This demonstrates your ability to think critically and pre-empt their responses.

Concede Valid Points

If the opposing party makes any valid points, acknowledge them. This shows your objectivity and willingness to consider their perspective, which can strengthen your overall argument.

CONCLUSION



Clarity and Organization

Maintain a clear structure and logical flow in your oral arguments, ensuring the Board can easily follow your reasoning.



Anticipate and Address Counterarguments

Proactively identify and address potential counterarguments, demonstrating your comprehensive understanding of the case.



Effective Delivery and Engagement

Speak with confidence, make eye contact, and use appropriate gestures to engage the Board and convey your points effectively.



Adaptability and Responsiveness

Be prepared to adapt your approach and respond to questions or interruptions from the Board, displaying your ability to think on your feet.

By following these best practices, advocates can deliver effective and persuasive oral arguments that increase their chances of success.